Atrial fibrillation (AF) is associated with ≈5-fold increase in the risk of stroke. Long-term oral anticoagulation (OAC) has been the standard of care for patients with AF who are at high risk for thromboembolic events. The left atrial appendage (LAA) is the origin of thromboembolism in ≈90% of cases. Recently, left atrial appendage closure (LAAC) emerged as an alternative stroke prevention strategy for nonvalvular AF patients who cannot be safely managed with long-term OAC. The WATCHMAN device (Boston Scientific Corporation, Natick, MA) was approved by the Food and Drug Administration for the purpose of LAAC. However, patients who were not eligible for long-term OAC were excluded from the 2 clinical trials that assessed this device excluded patients thought not to be candidates for OAC. As such, little is known about the safety of this strategy in patients with previous major bleeding events.

Methods and Results—All 20 consecutive patients with history of spontaneous major bleeding while on OAC who had subsequently undergone WATCHMAN device implantation at our institution were included. A newly conceived multidisciplinary Atrial Fibrillation Stroke Prevention Center evaluated patients for candidacy for device implantation and subsequent antithrombotic therapy. The primary outcome was spontaneous major bleeding while receiving short-term postprocedural OAC. Median CHA2DS2-VASc and HAS-BLED scores were 5 (quartiles 5–6) and 5 (quartiles 4–5), respectively. Previous major bleeding events were major gastrointestinal bleeding, intracranial bleeding, spontaneous hemopericardium with cardiac tamponade, and hemarthrosis in 11, 7, 1, and 1 patients, respectively. None of the patients had spontaneous major bleeding during the course of OAC after device implantation. In 1 patient, OAC was discontinued after 40 days because of mechanical fall with head trauma resulting in subdural hematoma with no associated neurological deficits; this was managed conservatively.

Conclusions—With careful multidisciplinary evaluation, a short course of OAC after WATCHMAN device implantation in patients with previous spontaneous major bleeding events is associated with low risk of recurrent spontaneous major bleeding. (Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol. 2016;9:e004004. DOI: 10.1161/CIRCEP.116.004004.)
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WHAT IS KNOWN

- The implantation of left atrial appendage closure device (WATCHMAN) is an alternative option to oral anticoagulation for stroke prevention in atrial fibrillation.
- Patients with contraindications to oral anticoagulation were excluded from clinical trials that assessed the safety and efficacy of WATCHMAN device, and a 45-days course of oral anticoagulation is recommended post implantation to avoid device thrombosis.

WHAT THE STUDY ADDS

- The study shows that in a group of patients who had experienced prior major bleeding events, WATCHMAN device implantation with short term oral anticoagulation was feasible, without significant risks of recurrent spontaneous bleeding events.
- These results were achieved with careful multidisciplinary assessment of rebleeding risk in a dedicated stroke prevention clinic.

Methods

Study Population

All consecutive patients referred for LAAC between April and December 2015 at our institution were enrolled in a prospectively maintained LAAC data registry and screened for eligibility for the current study. Major bleeding was defined, in concordance with the International Society on Thrombosis and Hemostasis definition, as fatal bleeding or symptomatic bleeding in a critical area or organ, such as intracranial, intraspinal, intraocular, retroperitoneal, intrarticular or pericardial, or intramuscular with compartment syndrome or bleeding causing a fall in hemoglobin level of ≥20 g L⁻¹ (1.24 mmol L⁻¹) or leading to transfusion of ≥2 units of whole blood or red cells. Only patients with history of spontaneous major bleeding while receiving OAC were eligible for inclusion and were identified by review of the data registry and medical records for clinical documentation of spontaneous major bleeding. The study excluded any patients with history of nonmajor or traumatic major bleeding. A total of 20 consecutive patients met the inclusion criteria and subsequently had LAAC occlusion using the WATCHMAN device (Boston Scientific Corporation).

In this group of patients, we investigated the occurrence of recurrent spontaneous major bleeding during OAC course post device implantation as a primary outcome. All patients gave written informed consent before the procedures. The Cleveland Clinic Foundation Institutional Review Board approved the study.

Clinical and Follow-Up Data

All patients received OAC in addition to aspirin for at least 45 days post procedure. Patients were hospitalized for 1 night after the procedure and were discharged on the following day. All patients were assessed for procedural complications immediately after the procedure and before hospital discharge.

On discharge, patients were encouraged to immediately report any bleeding or other complications. All patients returned for follow-up 45 days later and were assessed with a TEE to ensure effective LAA exclusion. If complete exclusion was achieved, patients were advised to stop OAC and continue on aspirin with addition of clopidogrel until 6 months post implantation, and aspirin alone thereafter.

Statistical Analysis and Outcomes

All statistical analyses were performed by using the statistical software JMP pro version 10.0 (SAS, NC). Descriptive statistics are presented as mean values and SD or median and quartiles for continuous variables and as frequencies and percentages for categorical variables. The primary outcome of interest was spontaneous major bleeding while receiving short-term postprocedural OAC.

Results

Twenty out of 37 patients with successfully implanted WATCHMAN devices at our institution between April and December 2015 had history of spontaneous major bleeding while receiving OAC and were included in the analysis. Baseline characteristics of the study population are summarized in Table. Patients were 76.3±6.9 years of age, and 65% were men. The median CHADS₂ (congestive heart failure, hypertension, age, diabetes, stroke/transient ischemic attack), CHA₂DS₂-VASc (congestive heart failure, hypertension, age [≥75 years], diabetes, stroke/transient ischemic attack, vascular disease, age [65–74 years], sex [female]), and HAS-BLED
same anticoagulant which had resulted in their previous major anticoagulation in this study, 13 patients (65%) received the gatran which resulted in a minor GI bleed. For the purpose of targeting an INR value of 2 to 3, after initial 20 days of Dabigatran major bleeding event). The latter was switched to warfarin mg BID (both patients were taking warfarin at the time of initiation). Eighteen patients received warfarin for periprocedural bleeding, and 5 days later, he was started on warfarin, which he received for the rest of the course.

The current study suggests that in this group of patients who had experienced previous major bleeding events, WATCHMAN device implantation with short-term OAC was feasible, without significant risks of recurrent spontaneous bleeding events. These results were achieved with careful multidisciplinary assessment in a dedicated stroke prevention clinic. The study provides important insights about high-risk patients who were excluded from clinical trials of WATCHMAN implants and are potentially the patients to derive the greatest benefit from atrial appendage closure.

In our study, all patients had a history of spontaneous major bleeding while receiving OAC, including 7 patients with previous intracranial hemorrhage. Remarkably, no patient was deemed ineligible after careful assessment by our multidisciplinary clinic, and all proceeded with device implantation. In 20 successfully implanted patients, there was no recurrence of spontaneous major bleeding and no incidence of device-related thrombosis or procedure-/device-related strokes.

LAAC provides an alternative stroke prevention strategy for nonvalvular AF patients who cannot be otherwise managed with long-term OAC. In real world, most of the patients seeking that option have had bleeding complications while receiving OAC, which are often serious and require discontinuation of therapy. Remarkably, patients who were not eligible for long-term OAC
were excluded from the 2 major clinical trials that evaluated the WATCHMAN device, the only Food and Drug Administration–approved LAAC device to date, mainly to allow randomization against long-term warfarin therapy.6,9 This lack of evidence poses a challenge to clinicians when faced with AF patients who have history of spontaneous major bleeding when treated with OAC and are at increased risk of thromboembolic events based on their risk profile. This challenge is aggravated by the need for at least 45 days of OAC after device implantation until effective LAA exclusion is achieved. To our knowledge, this is the first study to report the outcomes of the previously described strategy in this patient population.

The ASAP study (ASA Plavix Feasibility Study With Watchman Left Atrial Appendage Closure Technology) was a nonrandomized, uncontrolled, prospective study that evaluated the safety and efficacy of the WATCHMAN device implantation in 150 nonvalvular AF patients who were ineligible for OAC.11 Although 93% of the study population had history of bleeding tendencies, the exact number of patients who had history of major bleeding while receiving OAC was not reported. Another main difference is that patients did not receive the recommended course of OAC after device implantation; instead, they received 6 months of dual antiplatelet therapy including aspirin and a thienopyridine antiplatelet agent (clopidogrel or ticlopidine). The caveat is that aspirin monotherapy or aspirin plus clopidogrel both increase the risk of bleeding without appreciable benefit, and their use for stroke prevention in patients with AF is not well supported by clinical trial evidence, and the risks of thromboembolism may be even further potentiated by the presence of a device in the LAA and suboptimal anticoagulation. In ASAP, 6 out of 142 patients (4.2%) had device-related thrombosis, resulting in stroke in 1 patient (0.7%).11 Another limited evidence suggests ≈5% incidence of Amplatzer Cardiac Plug (St. Jude Medical, St. Paul, MN) thrombosis with antiplatelet therapy in patients with prior intracranial bleeding events.12

Our study suggests that a history of spontaneous major bleeding might not be an absolute contraindication for antithrombotic therapy after WATCHMAN device implantation. Although antiplatelet therapy has been suggested as an alternative, this strategy remains suboptimal for the prevention of device-related thrombotic complications. Furthermore, previous studies have shown that the rate of spontaneous acute bleeding events resulting in hospitalization secondary to antiplatelet therapy was not significantly different compared with warfarin, especially GI bleeding.13

Conclusion
After careful multidisciplinary evaluation, the standard anticoagulation strategy for WATCHMAN device implantation, including a 45-day course of OAC, may still be safely implemented in patients with previous spontaneous major bleeding while receiving OAC. The rate of recurrence of spontaneous major bleeding in this setting seems to be low. Larger prospective multicenter studies are needed to further evaluate this finding.

Disclosures
None.

References
Initial Experience With High-Risk Patients Excluded From Clinical Trials: Safety of Short-Term Anticoagulation After Left Atrial Appendage Closure Device


doi: 10.1161/CIRCEP.116.004004

Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol. 2016;9:
Circulation: Arrhythmia and Electrophysiology is published by the American Heart Association, 7272 Greenville Avenue, Dallas, TX 75231
Copyright © 2016 American Heart Association, Inc. All rights reserved.
Print ISSN: 1941-3149. Online ISSN: 1941-3084

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:
http://circep.ahajournals.org/content/9/6/e004004

Permissions: Requests for permissions to reproduce figures, tables, or portions of articles originally published in Circulation: Arrhythmia and Electrophysiology can be obtained via RightsLink, a service of the Copyright Clearance Center, not the Editorial Office. Once the online version of the published article for which permission is being requested is located, click Request Permissions in the middle column of the Web page under Services. Further information about this process is available in the Permissions and Rights Question and Answer document.

Reprints: Information about reprints can be found online at:
http://www.lww.com/reprints

Subscriptions: Information about subscribing to Circulation: Arrhythmia and Electrophysiology is online at:
http://circep.ahajournals.org//subscriptions/