Nonischemic Left Ventricular Scar as a Substrate of Life-Threatening Ventricular Arrhythmias and Sudden Cardiac Death in Competitive Athletes
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Background—The clinical profile and arrhythmic outcome of competitive athletes with isolated nonischemic left ventricular (LV) scar as evidenced by contrast-enhanced cardiac magnetic resonance remain to be elucidated.

Methods and Results—We compared 35 athletes (80% men, age: 14–48 years) with ventricular arrhythmias and isolated LV subepicardial/midmyocardial late gadolinium enhancement (LGE) on contrast-enhanced cardiac magnetic resonance (group A) with 38 athletes with ventricular arrhythmias and no LGE (group B) and 40 healthy control athletes (group C).

A stria LGE pattern with subepicardial/midmyocardial distribution, mostly involving the lateral LV wall, was found in 27 (77%) of group A versus 0 controls (group C; P<0.001), whereas a spotty pattern of LGE localized at the junction of the right ventricle to the septum was respectively observed in 11 (31%) versus 10 (25%; P=0.52). All athletes with stria pattern showed ventricular arrhythmias with a predominant right bundle branch block morphology, 13 of 27 (48%) showed ECG repolarization abnormalities, and 5 of 27 (19%) showed echocardiographic hypokinesis of the lateral LV wall.

The majority of athletes with no or spotty LGE pattern had ventricular arrhythmias with a predominant left bundle branch block morphology and no ECG or echocardiographic abnormalities. During a follow-up of 38±25 months, 6 of 27 (22%) athletes with stria pattern experienced malignant arrhythmic events such as appropriate implantable cardiac defibrillator shock (n=4), sustained ventricular tachycardia (n=1), or sudden death (n=1), compared with none of athletes with no or LGE spotty pattern and controls.

Conclusions—Isolated nonischemic LV LGE with a stria pattern may be associated with life-threatening arrhythmias and sudden death in the athlete. Because of its subepicardial/midmyocardial location, LV scar is often not detected by echocardiography. (Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol. 2016;9:e004229. DOI: 10.1161/CIRCEP.116.004229.)
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An underlying structural cardiac abnormality is found in most cases of life-threatening ventricular arrhythmias (VA) and sudden cardiac death (SCD) during sports.1-6 Malignant arrhythmic events may occur in athletes with a structurally normal heart, as a result of a genetic channelopathy.7-8 On the contrary, failure to detect structural heart abnormalities may depend on the unknown or concealed nature of the underlying pathophysiological substrates along with the low sensitivity of available clinical tests. Subtle structural heart conditions potentially at risk of arrhythmic cardiac arrest include focal myocarditis and segmental cardiomyopathies that may remain undetected by standard clinical examination including echocardiography.8,9

Contrast-enhanced cardiac magnetic resonance (CE-CMR) imaging has become in the recent years part of clinical work-up of athletes with VA.10 Besides evaluating the presence of morphofunctional ventricular abnormalities, CE-CMR allows myocardial tissue characterization by late...
WHAT IS KNOWN

- CMR shows subendocardial/midmyocardial LV LGE suggesting scar in a variety of diseases associated with sudden cardiac death, including hypertrophic, dilated, and arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathy.
- Isolated LV LGE has been reported in athletes with apparently idiopathic ventricular arrhythmias and/or repolarization abnormalities, but the clinical meaning of this finding remains to be elucidated.

WHAT THE STUDY ADDS

- Athletes showing ventricular arrhythmias with a right bundle branch block pattern (suggesting a left ventricular origin) and isolated nonischemic LV LGE at CMR can experience malignant arrhythmia, including sudden cardiac death.
- The pattern of LGE can be helpful; subepicardial/midmyocardial stria pattern was associated with life-threatening arrhythmias, whereas the junctional spotty pattern was nonspecific for these arrhythmias.
- Because these LV scars can escape detection by echocardiography, athletes presenting with right bundle branch block morphology ventricular arrhythmias should be further investigated by contrast-enhanced CMR.

gadolinium enhancement (LGE) technique, which provides information on the presence, morphology, and wall distribution of myocardial scar tissue otherwise overlooked. A nonischemic left ventricular (LV) scar, which is characterized locally at the midmyocardial or subepicardial layers of the LV wall, can be found in a broad spectrum of heart muscle diseases at risk of SCD, including myocarditis, sarcoidosis, dilated cardiomyopathy, hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, and arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy (ARVC). Isolated, nonischemic LV LGE has been previously reported in anecdotic cases or small series of individuals presenting with VA and/or repolarization abnormalities. Whether this isolated, segmental LV myocardial lesion may act as a substrate of life-threatening arrhythmias and SCD in the athlete remains to be elucidated. The presence of spotty LGE at the junction of the right ventricle (RV) with the ventricular septum has been consistently reported in a sizeable proportion of endurance athletes and related to the duration and intensity of sports activity. This morphological pattern of LGE is traditionally deemed a nonclinically relevant manifestation of the structural adaptive changes of the athlete’s heart; however, follow-up studies evaluating its arrhythmic risk are lacking.

The main objective of this study was to characterize the clinical and imaging profile and the arrhythmic outcome of a cohort of competitive athletes showing isolated nonischemic LGE on CE-CMR, which was performed for clinical evaluation of apparently idiopathic VA. The CE-CMR features and outcome of the index athletes were compared with those of athletes with frequent premature ventricular beats (PVB) or complex VA and no LGE and control healthy athletes to assess whether the presence, specific morphological pattern, regional localization, and wall distribution of the LGE are associated with an increased arrhythmic risk.

Methods

The Inherited Arrhythmogenic Cardiomyopathy unit of the Department of Cardiac, Thoracic, and Vascular Sciences is a tertiary center for evaluation of young people and athletes with established or suspected cardiac disease at risk of life-threatening VA. This study enrolled a series of competitive athletes with frequent PVB (>500/d) or complex VA (sustained or nonsustained ventricular tachycardia [VT] or ventricular fibrillation), which occurred in the absence of coronary artery disease, cardiomyopathy, and other clinically overt heart disease and were diagnosed as idiopathic at routine clinical evaluation. All athletes underwent a comprehensive CE-CMR study for further imaging assessment and tissue characterization of myocardial substrate.

Breakdown of the Study Population

During the period 2009 to 2014, a total of 223 competitive athletes who had undergone preparticipation cardiovascular evaluation by a sports medicine physician were referred to our cardiology center for diagnostic evaluation of VA. One hundred thirty-eight athletes were excluded from the study because they did not fulfill the enrollment criteria of frequency and complexity of VA and did not undergo CE-CMR study. Among the other 85 athletes with frequent PVB or complex VA, 8 were excluded because of a diagnosis of structural heart disease including partial anomalous pulmonary venous return (n=1), ARVC either definite (n=1) or borderline (n=2), apical hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (n=1), ventricular preexcitation (n=1), and coronary artery disease (n=2). Four athletes (all with no LGE) were lost to follow-up. The athletic study population comprised the remaining 73 athletes with frequent PVB or complex VA and routine diagnostic work-up negative for overt heart disease who underwent additional CE-CMR study. According to the CE-CMR findings, 2 groups were identified: group A (n=35) including athletes with VA and evidence of isolated LV LGE and group B (n=38) including athletes with VA and a totally negative CE-CMR study.

Controls

A group of 40 competitive athletes (group C) with a negative family history for SCD or cardiomyopathy, normal ECG, and no VA served as controls. They underwent CE-CMR for further imaging assessment of a borderline LV hypertrophy found at preparticipation echocardiography. The CE-CMR study ruled out a pathological ventricular remodeling because of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy or other structural heart diseases in all.

Clinical Investigation

At the time of first evaluation, all athletes underwent a routine clinical evaluation, including family and personal history, physical examination, resting 12-lead ECG, signal-averaged ECG, 12-lead 24-hour Holter monitoring to evaluate the morphology of VA, bicycle exercise testing with a protocol of 25 to 50 W increments every 3 minutes, and 2-dimensional transesophageal echocardiography. Late potentials on signal-averaged ECG were defined according to previously proposed criteria. Additional invasive tests such as coronary angiography (n=36), endomyocardial biopsy including molecular pathology investigation for viral genomes (n=6), or programmed ventricular stimulation (n=10) were reserved to selected cases.

All subjects gave written informed consent after counseling in accordance with the ethical standards of the Declaration of Helsinki (2001) and with recommendations given by the institutional ethical committee.
Contrast-Enhanced Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance

All athletes were evaluated de novo, with repeated CE-CMR if performed in other institutions, to provide independent diagnosis.

Scan Protocol

CMR was performed on a 1.5-Tesla scanner (Magnetom Avanto, Siemens Medical Solutions, Erlangen, Germany) using a comprehensive dedicated protocol.

All patients underwent detailed CE-CMR study protocol including postcontrast sequences.

Images were acquired using a steady-state free precession sequence (true FISP) cine loops in sequential short-axis views (slice thickness 6 mm, gap 2 mm; repetition time 2.5–3.8 ms; echo time 1.1–1.6 ms, average in-plane resolution 1.5×2.4 mm, flip angle 45° to 60°, temporal resolution 40–45 ms) and long-axis views (2-, 3-, and 4-chamber views).

After intravenous administration of contrast agent (gadobenate dimeglumine, Multihance; Bracco; 0.2 mmol/kg of body weight), 2-dimensional segmented fast low-angle shot inversion recovery sequence after at least 10 minutes were acquired in the same views of cine images, covering the entire ventricles (repetition time 5.4–8.3 ms, echo time 1.3–3.9 ms, average in-plane spatial resolution 1.4–1.5×2.2–2.4 mm, 6-mm slice thickness, 2-mm gap, and flip angle 20° to 25°). Inversion times were adjusted to null myocardium using the Look-Locker sequence, and images were repeated in 2 separate phase-encoding directions to exclude artifacts.

Image Analysis

Global ventricular volumes, systolic function, and LV myocardial mass were calculated from the short-axis cine images, excluding papillary muscles from the myocardium, using a dedicated software (CMR42; Circle Cardiovascular Imaging, Inc). The presence, location, and extent of LGE were independently assessed by 2 experienced observers (M.P.M. and B.G.) who were blinded to patient clinical data and outcome; ambiguous cases were reviewed using a third expert (G.D.C.). To exclude artifact, LGE was deemed present only if visible in 2 orthogonal views (short-axis and long-axis views).

Myocardial LGE was quantified by a semiautomatic detection and signal intensity threshold of >2 SD above a remote reference region. According to previously validated methods, LGE was quantified using a signal intensity threshold of >2 SD above a remote reference region. The pattern of LGE distribution and morphology was characterized as either epicardial/midmyocardial stria or patchy/junctional spotty. If >1 pattern was present, the distribution was characterized on the basis of the predominant pattern.

Follow Up

After the enrollment, patients were followed up for a mean duration of 38±25 months. The primary study end point was the occurrence or worsening of any major arrhythmic event defined as SCD, arrhythmic cardiac arrest, sustained VT or appropriate implantable cardiac defibrillator (ICD) intervention on VT/ventricular fibrillation. Routine ICD interrogation and ECG recordings at the time of symptoms were used to document the occurrence of spontaneous VT during follow-up. SCD was defined as any natural death occurring instantaneously or within one hour from symptoms onset. Sustained VT was defined as tachycardia originating in the ventricle with rate >100 beats/min and lasting >30 seconds or requiring an intervention for termination. Appropriate ICD intervention was defined as a device shock or anti-tachycardia overdrive pacing delivered in response to a ventricular tachyarrhythmia and documented by stored intracardiac ECG data.

Statistical Analysis

Data are expressed as mean±SD or median with 25 to 75 percentiles for normally distributed and skewed variables, respectively. Normal distribution was assessed using Shapiro-Wilk test. Categorical differences among groups were evaluated by the χ² test or the Fisher exact test as appropriate. Differences among continuous variables were evaluated with the Kruskal–Wallis test. Kaplan–Meier analysis was used to estimate the survival distributions of the arrhythmic end point and to show the differences in survival among groups of patients. Kaplan–Meier curves were compared with the log-rank test.

Results

Clinical Findings

The clinical characteristics of the 3 study groups are shown in Table 1. There were no statistically significant differences with regard to sex and age among groups.

Group A included 35 athletes (80% men; mean age: 33 years; range: 14–48) with frequent PVB or complex VA and LGE at CE-CMR. Thirty (86%) had a negative family history for SCD or cardiomyopathies. Seventeen athletes (49%) had a positive personal history for ≥1 of the following events/symptoms: cardiac arrest (n=2), sustained VT (n=5), syncope (n=5), presyncope (n=5), palpitations (n=8), and/or chest pain (n=1).

No patient had a previous diagnosis of acute myocarditis.

The ECG was abnormal in 13 (37%) athletes and the most common abnormalities were low QRS voltages in limb leads (20%) and T-wave inversion in inferolateral leads (20%). Signal-averaged ECG was positive in 6 of 15 (40%) athletes. In 28 athletes (80%), 12-leads, 24-hour ambulatory ECG monitoring recorded frequent PVB and/or complex VA with a predominant right bundle branch block (RBBB) morphology (suggestive of LV origin) with superior axis (n=23), inferior axis (n=3), or intermediate axis (n=2); the remaining 7 (20%) had frequent isolated PVB with a predominant left bundle branch block/inferior axis morphology. On stress testing, VA occurred or worsened at the peak of exercise in 26 athletes (74%). Echocardiography was abnormal in 5 athletes (14%) showing hypokinesis of the inferolateral LV wall. Sustained VT was induced by programmed ventricular stimulation in 3 of 10 athletes (30%).

Endomyocardial biopsy was performed in 6 athletes: histopathologic findings were consistent with focal acute myocarditis in 1, segmental fibrofatty replacement in 2, and were normal in the remaining 3. Molecular pathology investigation by polymerase chain reaction and reverse-transcriptase polymerase chain reaction was negative for viral genomes.

Group B included 38 athletes with frequent PVB or complex VA and no LGE at CE-CMR. They significantly less often showed ECG changes, nonsustained VT at 24-hour ECG monitoring, and echocardiographic LV wall motion abnormalities compared with athletes of group A (Table 1). The majority of athletes (71%) of group B had VA with a predominant left bundle branch block/inferior axis pattern.

By study design, the 40 control athletes (group C) had no VA and a negative routine cardiovascular evaluation.

CMR Features

Findings of cine CMR and tissue characterization CMR sequences are reported in Table 2.
Table 1. Clinical Characteristics of the Study Population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group A VA and LGE n=35</th>
<th>Group B VA and NO LGE n=38</th>
<th>Group C Controls n=40</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>33 (25–40)</td>
<td>28 (21–41)</td>
<td>29 (23–36)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (male)</td>
<td>28 (80)</td>
<td>27 (71)</td>
<td>33 (83)</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sport</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soccer</td>
<td>8 (23)</td>
<td>7 (18)</td>
<td>11 (28)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Running</td>
<td>7 (20)</td>
<td>9 (24)</td>
<td>9 (23)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volleyball</td>
<td>7 (20)</td>
<td>4 (11)</td>
<td>6 (13)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swimming</td>
<td>3 (9)</td>
<td>4 (11)</td>
<td>6 (15)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclism</td>
<td>4 (11)</td>
<td>10 (26)</td>
<td>3 (8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>6 (17)</td>
<td>4 (11)</td>
<td>5 (13)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>30 (86)</td>
<td>37 (97)</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiomyopathy</td>
<td>2 (6)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premature sudden death</td>
<td>3 (9)</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal history</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>18 (51)</td>
<td>23 (61)</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac arrest</td>
<td>2 (6)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustained ventricular tachycardia</td>
<td>5 (14)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syncope</td>
<td>5 (14)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presyncope</td>
<td>2 (6)</td>
<td>2 (5)</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palpitations</td>
<td>8 (23)</td>
<td>14 (37)</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest pain</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>22 (63)</td>
<td>35 (92)</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low ($\leq 0.5$ mV) QRS voltages in limb leads</td>
<td>7 (20)</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraventricular conduction delay</td>
<td>3 (9)</td>
<td>2 (6)</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QRS duration 100–120 ms</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>…</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathological Q-waves</td>
<td>2 (6)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-wave inversion in V1-V3</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-wave inversion in V4-V6±1/avL</td>
<td>7 (20)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-wave inversion in 2/avVF/3</td>
<td>2 (6)</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late potentials at SAECG</td>
<td>6/15 (40)</td>
<td>1/20 (5)</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-h ECG monitoring</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequent (&gt;500/d) PVB</td>
<td>30 (86)</td>
<td>35 (92)</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Couplets and/or triplets</td>
<td>20 (57)</td>
<td>18 (47)</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonsustained VT ($\geq 4$ PVB)</td>
<td>8 (23)</td>
<td>2 (6)</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustained VT/VF</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response to exercise testing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No/suppression</td>
<td>9 (26)</td>
<td>15 (39)</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isolated PVB</td>
<td>16 (46)</td>
<td>19 (50)</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repetitive PVB</td>
<td>10 (29)</td>
<td>4 (11)</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
Nonischemic Left Ventricular Scar in Athletes

Group A
Mild LV dilation with a preserved LV ejection fraction was detected in 9 (26%) athletes of group A. Mild RV dilation in the absence of RV dysfunction was detected in 6 (17%) cases. At postcontrast sequences, 27 (77%) showed an epicardial/midmyocardial stria pattern of LGE, which was associated with a junctional spotty LGE (ie, LGE spot at the insertion points of the RV free wall to the interventricular septum) in 5 (Figure 1 and 2). The subepicardial/midmyocardial stria was localized in the lateral LV region in 24/27 patients, in isolation or in association with other regions. Three patients showed isolated involvement of the LV apical region.

Table 2. Contrast-Enhanced Cardiac Magnetic Resonance Findings*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group A VA and LGE n=35</th>
<th>Group B VA and NO LGE n=38</th>
<th>Group C Controls n=40</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Morphofunctional CMR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild LV dilatation</td>
<td>9 (26)</td>
<td>5 (13)</td>
<td>3 (8)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV EDVi, mL/m²</td>
<td>95 (88–110)</td>
<td>94 (85–108)</td>
<td>92 (82–101)</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV EF, %</td>
<td>62 (59–68)</td>
<td>61 (58–66)</td>
<td>60 (57–65)</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV mass, g/m²</td>
<td>72 (65–83)</td>
<td>75 (63–80)</td>
<td>80 (69–91)</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild RV dilatation</td>
<td>6 (17)</td>
<td>10 (26)</td>
<td>5 (13)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV EDVi, mL/m²</td>
<td>90 (74–102)</td>
<td>93 (77–105)</td>
<td>90 (75–103)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV EF, %</td>
<td>60 (58–62)</td>
<td>60 (57–66)</td>
<td>58 (55–64)</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postcontrast CMR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV LGE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV LGE</td>
<td>35 (100)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>10 (25)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patterns</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epicardial stria</td>
<td>27 (77)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junctional spotty</td>
<td>11 (31)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>10 (25)</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional distribution of stria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior wall</td>
<td>4/27 (15)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral wall</td>
<td>24/27 (89)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior wall</td>
<td>7/27 (26)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Septum</td>
<td>3/27 (11)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apex (17° segment)</td>
<td>3/27 (11)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CMR indicates cardiac magnetic resonance; LGE, late gadolinium enhancement; LV, left ventricular; EDVi, end-diastolic volume index; EF, ejection fraction; RV, right ventricular; and VA, ventricular arrhythmias.

*All group A athletes had LV LGE and all group B athletes had no LV LGE by study design.
segments. The remaining 8 (23%) index athletes had isolated junctional spotty LGE at the posterior junction (N=7) or at both the anterior and posterior junctions (N=1). No patients exhibited RV LGE.

Among the 27 athletes with a stria pattern, 5 (19%) had a positive family history, 8 (30%) a history of sustained VT or cardiac arrest, 13 (48%) ECG changes, 5 (19%) echocardiographic abnormalities compared with none of the 8 index athletes with isolated junctional spotty LGE. Moreover, all 27 athletes with a stria pattern had VA with a predominant RBBB morphology compared with 1/8 (13%) of those with an isolated junctional spotty pattern.

**Group B**
Mild LV and RV dilatation, in the absence of ventricular systolic dysfunction, were found, respectively, in 5 athletes (13%) and 10 athletes (26%) of group B.

**Group C**
Mild LV dilatation in the absence of LV dysfunction was found in 3 of 40 (8%) controls. Mild RV dilation in the absence of RV dysfunction was detected in 5 (13%) controls. At post-contrast sequences, 10 controls (25%) showed LV LGE, all with a junctional spotty pattern. The junctional LGE spot was localized in the posterior junction, alone (n=8) or associated with anterior junction (n=2). No control exhibited RV LGE.

**Comparison Among Groups**
At cine CMR, morphofunctional features did not significantly differ among the 3 groups. The epicardial/midmyocardial stria pattern was distinctively observed in athletes with VA (group A), whereas the prevalence of junctional spotty LGE was similar in athletes of group A and controls (Figure 3).

**Follow Up**
Thirty-two athletes were restricted from competitive sports activity including all 27 index athletes with arrhythmias and a subepicardial/midmyocardial stria pattern of LGE, 1 athlete with complex VA and isolated junctional spotty pattern, and 4 athletes with complex, exercise-induced VA and no LGE. Twenty-five athletes with demonstration of exercise-inducible LV arrhythmias and LV LGE were prescribed β-blockers and 9 group A athletes received an ICD for either secondary prevention (cardiac arrest N=2; spontaneous sustained VT N=5) or primary prevention (syncope and inducible VT/ventricular fibrillation by programmed ventricular stimulation, N=2).

During follow-up, 6 patients (all with a subepicardial/midmyocardial stria of LV LGE) experienced major arrhythmic
events including appropriate ICD shocks (n=4), SCD (n=1), and sustained VT (n=1). Five of six events occurred during exercise (Table 3). One patient had a progressive LV dysfunction leading over time to end-stage heart failure requiring heart transplantation 5 years after initial evaluation (Figure 4). Both the athlete who died suddenly and the one who underwent heart transplantation had pathological evidence of biventricular subepicardial and/or midmural fibrofatty replacement, with a distribution in keeping with a diagnosis of left-dominant ARVC.

A familial recurrence of the LV LGE was ascertained in 2 cases. The first was the cyclist who died suddenly. CE-CMR study demonstrated inferolateral LV LGE stria in his asymptomatic brother who was also a cyclist. The second was a volleyball player who sought medical attention because of frequent PVB. The CE-CMR showed a nonischemic LV LGE with a stria pattern involving both the septum and the inferior LV free wall. The same CE-CMR features were observed in his asymptomatic identical twin brother (Figure 5).

Kaplan–Meier analysis of freedom from malignant arrhythmias during follow-up showed that major arrhythmic events distinctively occurred in the subset of athletes with VA and a stria pattern of LV LGE at the time of enrollment (Figure 6).

Discussion
The study was designed to characterize the clinical profile and arrhythmic outcome of a cohort of elite athletes with isolated nonischemic LGE at CE-CMR, which was performed for clinical evaluation of apparently idiopathic VA. The main
study results were that: (1) an isolated nonischemic LV LGE suggesting myocardial scar was associated with malignant arrhythmic events including SCD in the athlete; (2) the LGE stria pattern with a subepicardial/midmyocardial location was distinctively found among athletes with VA, at variance with the junctional LGE spotty pattern whose prevalence did not differ in athletes with and without arrhythmias; (3) during follow-up, athletes with a stria LGE pattern experienced life-threatening arrhythmic events and SCD, whereas athletes with no LGE or junctional spotty LGE pattern in isolation had
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**Table 3. Characteristics of Patients Who Experienced Clinical Events During Follow-Up**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Age at CE-CMR</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Sport</th>
<th>History</th>
<th>ECG</th>
<th>SAECG</th>
<th>Echocardiography</th>
<th>24-H ECG Holter</th>
<th>Stress Test</th>
<th>Scar Pattern at CE-CMR</th>
<th>PVS</th>
<th>ICD</th>
<th>Event Type (Follow-Up)</th>
<th>Circumstances</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Running</td>
<td>Sustained VT</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Regional LV hypokinesis</td>
<td>Nonsustained VT</td>
<td>Single PVB</td>
<td>Stria</td>
<td>N/P</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>ICD shock (18 mo)</td>
<td>Effort (running)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Running</td>
<td>Sustained VT</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Frequent isolated PVB</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Stria</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>ICD shock (6 mo)</td>
<td>Rest</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Soccer</td>
<td>Syncope</td>
<td>TWI in inferolateral leads</td>
<td>N/P</td>
<td>Regional LV hypokinesis</td>
<td>Ventricular couplets</td>
<td>Single PVB</td>
<td>Stria</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>ICD shock (13 mo)</td>
<td>Effort (table tennis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Volleyball</td>
<td>Cardiac arrest</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>N/P</td>
<td>Regional LV Hypokinesis</td>
<td>Ventricular fibrillation*</td>
<td>Single PVB</td>
<td>Stria</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>ICD shock (52 mo.)</td>
<td>Effort (volleyball)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Bicycle</td>
<td>Palpitations</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Frequent isolated PVB and couplets</td>
<td>Couplets PVB</td>
<td>Stria</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>SCD (14 mo)</td>
<td>Effort (cycling)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Martial arts</td>
<td>Palpitations</td>
<td>Low QRS voltages in limb leads</td>
<td>N/P</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Non sustained VT</td>
<td>Single PVB</td>
<td>Stria</td>
<td>N/P</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Sustained VT (21 mo)</td>
<td>Effort (running upstairs)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CE-CMR indicates contrast-enhanced cardiac magnetic resonance; ICD, implantable cardioverter defibrillator; LV, left ventricular; N/P, not performed; PVB, premature ventricular beats; PVS, programmed ventricular stimulation; SAECG, signal-averaged ECG; SCD, sudden cardiac death; and VT, ventricular tachycardia.

*The patient developed ventricular fibrillation during Holter monitoring and was successfully resuscitated.

---

**Figure 4.** A 18-yr-old tennis player who underwent contrast-enhancement cardiac magnetic resonance for inferolateral T-wave inversion at baseline 12-lead ECG (A) and frequent ventricular ectopic beats with a right bundle branch block/superior axis at exercise testing (B). Cardiac magnetic resonance revealed subepicardial/midmyocardial late gadolinium enhancement with a stria pattern involving the inferolateral left ventricular wall (white arrows; C). During follow-up, he developed progressive left ventricular dysfunction that led to refractory heart failure and heart transplantation. Panoramic view of the inferolateral left ventricular wall of the removed heart showed extensive replacement-type fibrosis mostly in the subepicardial and midmural layers, with focal fatty infiltration (trichrome heidenhain stain; D). At higher magnification, the residual cardiomyocytes are hypertrophic and show dysmetric and dysmorphic nuclei, with cytoplasmic vacuolization: note the diffuse fibrosis and patchy fatty infiltration (hematoxylin–eosin stain; E).
an uneventful outcome, (4) because of its peculiar focal and/or nontransmural wall distribution, which spares the subendocardial layer, the LV scar as evidenced by CE-CMR may be undetectable by echocardiography.

**Previous Studies**

Idiopathic myocardial fibrosis, either interstitial or replacement-type, with a predilection for the inferolateral LV wall, has been occasionally reported at postmortem examination of athletes who died suddenly.28–30 The increasing use in the clinical practice of CE-CMR has offered the potential to identify in vivo potentially arrhythmogenic LV scar tissue. Previous CE-CMR studies in asymptomatic athletes reported a prevalence of LV LGE ranging from 0% to 50%17–26 (Table 4). The previous studies predominantly comprised veteran endurance athletes in whom a variety of LGE patterns were identified, either ischemic or nonischemic. Moreover, the correlation between LGE and clinical manifestation such as ECG and echocardiographic features, arrhythmias, and outcome was not systematically evaluated. The recent study by Schnell et al16 reported the clinical follow-up of 7 athletes with subepicardial LGE and arrhythmias or ECG abnormalities. However, there was

---

**Figure 5.** Long-axis (A and C) and short-axis (B and D) postcontrast cardiac magnetic resonance views of two 34-y-old identical twin brothers showing a subepicardial/midmyocardial stria of late gadolinium enhancement involving the lateral and inferolateral left ventricular wall (white arrows).

**Figure 6.** A, Kaplan–Meier analysis for survival from major arrhythmic events (sudden death, cardiac arrest because of ventricular fibrillation, sustained ventricular tachycardia, or appropriate implantable cardiac defibrillator shock) in athletes with ventricular arrhythmias and late gadolinium enhancement (LGE), in athletes with ventricular arrhythmias and no LGE, and in controls (with or without spotty LGE). B, Kaplan–Meier analysis for survival from major arrhythmic events in the subgroup of athletes with ventricular arrhythmias and LGE according to specific LGE patterns (stria vs spotty).
no comparison with control athletes and by design, athletes with junctional patchy LGE pattern were excluded based on the presumption that this pattern is part of physiological adaptive changes of the athlete’s heart. The limited and incomplete data of previous studies prompted us to investigate the clinical characteristics and outcome of a larger cohort of competitive athletes with different patterns of nonischemic LV LGE, with or without associated VA.

Clinical Meaning of Different Late-Enhancement Patterns

The analysis of morphology, regional location, and wall distribution of LV LGE, both in the athletes presenting for VA and in control healthy athletes, allowed to identify 2 different patterns of nonischemic LV LGE scar: stria and spotty.

The stria LGE pattern had a subepicardial/midmyocardial wall distribution, mostly involved (89%) the inferolateral wall segments and was distinctively found in the group of athletes with VA. It was often associated with a history of sustained VT or cardiac arrest and ECG changes such as low QRS voltages in limb leads and T-wave inversion in inferolateral leads and predicted a malignant arrhythmic outcome. Of note, 85% of athletes with a LGE stria pattern showed VA with a RBBB/superior axis configuration. This morphology is consistent with the origin of the arrhythmia from the inferolateral LV segments, which was the region most frequently affected by LGE. Myocardial fibrosis could act as a substrate of VA through a variety of mechanisms. Structural discontinuity of myocardial tissue induced by fibrosis could generate conduction slowing and block predisposing to reentry. Myocyte uncoupling could favor arrhythmias based on abnormal impulse initiation such as automaticity or triggered activity. Fibrosis could also be a marker of abnormal and proarrhythmic myocyte electrophysiology as suggested by the histopathologic evidences in one of our athletes of myocytes hypertrophy, which is a recognized arrhythmogenic condition caused by action potential prolongation, heterogeneous repolarization, and/or calcium overload.11

The junctional spotty LGE pattern was typically localized in the basal segment of the inferior ventricular septum and the junction with the RV free wall and not associated with abnormal history or ECG findings and arrhythmic events during follow-up. Moreover, the majority of patients with isolated junctional spotty LGE exhibited a left bundle branch block/inferior axis arrhythmia configuration, suggesting that the arrhythmia originated from the RV outflow tract and was unrelated to the LGE. Similarly, group B athletes with no LV LGE showed PVB/VA with a predominant left bundle branch block morphology and an uneventful follow-up. Pooled together, these findings suggest that the combination of VA with a predominant RBBB morphology and LV LGE with a stria pattern predicted an increased risk of malignant arrhythmic events during follow-up.

Echocardiography

In our population of athletes with LV arrhythmias and nonischemic LV LGE with a stria pattern, echocardiographic hypokinesis of the inferolateral LV segments involved by CE-CMR was found in 5/27 (29%) patients. This finding is in keeping with the results of a previous study showing that 5 of 7 with subepicardial/midmyocardial scar as evidenced by CE-CMR was found in 5/27 (29%) patients. This finding is in keeping with the results of a previous study showing that 5 of 7 with subepicardial LGE had a normal echocardiography.16 That nonischemic LV scar is undetectable by echocardiography may be explained by the segmental nature of myocardial
fibrosis confined to small myocardial area and involving outer wall layers without reaching the subendocardium, which is the part of the LV that contributes the most to myocardial thickening. It is noteworthy that nonischemic LV scar as evidenced by CE-CMR accounted for life-threatening arrhythmic events including SCD during follow-up, despite the largely preserved global LV systolic function.

Pathogenetic Hypotheses

The distribution of LGE to subepicardial and midmyocardial wall layers indicates a nonischemic nature of the LV lesion and suggests myocarditis as the most likely underlying cause. However, myocardial inflammation is found in association with myocyte necrosis and replacement fibrosis in a variety of nonischemic heart muscle disorders such as infective or autoimmune myocarditis (including sarcoidosis), dilated cardiomyopathy, and ARVC, in which myocardial cell death is mediated or accompanied by reactive myocarditis.

Traditionally, the presence of nonischemic LV fibrosis with an epicardial/midmyocardial distribution and preferential involvement of the inferolateral LV regions is interpreted as the consequence of a previous myocarditis. Although in this study no athletes had a history of clinical myocarditis, we cannot exclude this cause because of a possible clinically silent course and a greater vulnerability to infective diseases related to the sports-induced depression of the immune system, which in turn might be favored by the undeclared use of performance-enhancing drugs such as steroids. Moreover, in the subset of 6 athletes undergoing endomyocardial biopsy at the time of cardiac catheterization, diagnostic histopathologic features of acute myocarditis, characterized by inflammatory infiltrates associated with myocyte necrosis, were observed in one case only. Noteworthy, viral genomes were not detected and inflammation was later found to be reactive to ARVC myocardial lesion in the removed native heart at the time of cardiac transplantation. However, the low sensitivity of endomyocardial biopsy may reflect the mismatch between the location of myocardial LGE (ie, the epicardial lateral LV wall) and the site of the biopsy (ie, the endocardial RV septal apex).

The LV scar as evidenced by CE-CMR may reflect a segmental inherited cardiomyopathy, namely, a left-dominant ARVC. This ARVC variant is characterized by fibrous or fibrofatty replacement of the LV, with possible focal abnormalities of the RV that can be detected only by histological examination (as was the case of 2 athletes who underwent endomyocardial biopsy). The clinical features of left-dominant ARVC mirror those of the classic right-dominant counterpart, with ECG repolarization abnormalities in the left precordial leads V4-V6 and VA with a RBBB configuration. In our study, a family history of SCD was ascertained in 3 index athletes; moreover, 2 athletes had a clinical evidence of familial recurrence of the disease, a finding that supports the hypothesis of the inherited cardiomyopathy nature of the LV LGE at least in some cases.

A previous study by Wilson et al in veteran athletes demonstrated a link between lifelong endurance exercise and LGE (typically with a junctional spotty pattern), suggesting a cause–effective relationship. In our study, among 40 healthy control athletes with no VA, 28% exhibited LGE with a distinctive junctional spotty pattern and none showed a stria pattern despite their training-induced augmented LV mass. This finding is in agreement with previous studies demonstrating that junctional spotty LGE pattern is a common finding in highly trained athletes, whereas the subepicardial/midmyocardial stria pattern is exceptional (Table 4). Our results extended previous observations by showing that unlike the stria LGE pattern, the spotty LGE pattern was characterized by an uneventful outcome during follow-up. The spotty LGE pattern resembles that described in patients with pulmonary hypertension, in whom the increased interventricular wall stress caused by RV pressure overload may induce a myocardial injury at this site. A significant increase in pulmonary pressures has been demonstrated in trained athletes during intense exercise which suggests a similar pathogenesis. Of interest, myocardial areas located at the attachment of RV wall to the septum, where spotty LGE characteristically localizes, showed at histological examination a loss of compact myocardium and markedly expanded extracellular space with interstitial fibrosis, morphological features that may suggest a different pathogenesis and explain the lack of association with arrhythmias compared with the stria LGE pattern. According to our study results, the subepicardial/midmyocardial stria is not part of the physiological remodeling of the athlete’s heart, as indicated by its absence among our highly trained control athletes with an augmented LV mass. However, there remains a possibility that strenuous and sustained physical exercise may have worsened myocardial inflammatory lesions or favored the development of myocardial damage and fibrous repair in those athletes with a predisposing genetic background.

Finally, performance-enhancing drugs may induce a variety of rhythm disturbances in the athletes, including VA. However, none of our athletes admitted the use of any drugs, and at present, there is no evidence that illicit substances may cause nonischemic LV scar.

Study Limitations

Although to our knowledge this study reported on the largest cohort of athletes with VA and LV LGE, a relatively small number of athletes and outcomes were analyzed, and this limited the power to detect associations. In particular, the small number of athletes with VA and junctional spotty LGE did not allow us to confidently conclude that this LGE pattern is associated with a benign outcome. Moreover, because the decision to perform additional CE-CMR and/or to refer the athlete to our center for further evaluation was at discretion of the sports medicine physicians, we were unable to assess in the general athletic population either the prevalence of LV LGE in athletes with VA or the prevalence of VA in athletes with LV LGE. However, the primary objective of our case–control study was to evaluate the prognostic value of the presence, pattern, and distribution of LV LGE in athletes with VA. The investigation of the pathogenesis of isolated LV scar as evidence by CE-CMR, with particular reference to relationship with left- and/or right-dominant ARVC, by systematic
molecular genetic analysis and family screening was beyond the scope of this study.

Conclusions and Clinical Implications
The results of this study showed that nonischemic LV LGE with a stria morphological pattern and subepicardial/midmyocardial distribution was associated with malignant VA and SCD in competitive athletes. Hence, it deserves proper clinical attention and cannot be simply dismissed as a benign sign of a healed remote inflammatory process. This condition may represent the result of a healing process common to a variety of nonischemic myocardial lesions including myocarditis and cardiomyopathies.

The most appropriate management strategy of affected athletes remains to be established. By extrapolation from other arrhythmogenic disorders at risk of SCD, ICD implantation is indicated in affected athletes who survived cardiac arrest because of ventricular fibrillation, experienced sustained VT, or had exercise-induced syncope. Successful mapping/catheter ablation of recurrent sustained VT have been preliminary reported in patients with inferolateral scar using an epicardial approach because the reentry circuit is located in the outer layer of LV wall. Prophylactic β-blocker therapy seems to be justified on the basis of the exercise test inducibility of VA in the majority of our cases. However, such a therapy may not confer complete protection against life-threatening VA as shown by the significant incidence of appropriate ICD intervention on fast VT during follow-up observed in our study population despite β-blockers. Of note, because the scar may be inheritable, a cascade family screening and clinical follow-up is warranted.

The results of our study raise some challenges regarding (1) the identification of asymptomatic athletes with potentially at-risk LV scar on cardiovascular evaluation before participation in sports and (2) the eligibility to competitive sports activity of asymptomatic individuals with nonischemic LV scar as evidenced by CE-CMR. The finding of a >50% prevalence of ECG abnormalities, either T-wave inversion in the inferolateral leads or low QRS voltages in limb leads, in our athletes with a stria LGE pattern suggests the possibility that the disease may be suspected at a pre-asymptomatic stage by ECG screening. However, because of its peculiar nontransmural distribution that spares the subendocardial wall layer, the presence of LV scar was missed by echocardiographic examination in the majority of our cases. As a corollary, in athletes presenting with frequent PVB or complex VA with a RBBB morphology (suggesting a LV origin) and showing normal ECG and echocardiographic findings, the presence of this potentially malignant arrhythmogenic substrate cannot be excluded and should be further investigated by a CE-CMR study. An isolated nonischemic LV LGE is not listed by the current recommendations among the cardiac diseases at risk of SCD and requiring restriction or disqualification from competitive sports activity. Our findings indicate that affected athletes should be accurately evaluated for the arrhythmogenic potential inherent to the LV scar as evidenced by CE-CMR. In the presence of VA, especially if worsened by exercise, athletes should prudentially refrain from practicing sports activity and physical exercise with high cardiovascular demand. Because our data are preliminary, retrospective, and limited to a relatively small cohort of index athletes, further prospective studies on larger athletic population showing a nonischemic LV LGE, with and without arrhythmias, should be designed to provide definitive guidelines for risk stratification and sport eligibility.

Sources of Funding
This study was funded by the TRANSAC Strategic Research Grant CPDA133979/13, University of Padua, Italy; the Registry of Cardio-Cerebro-Vascular Pathology, Veneto Region, Venice, Italy; Target Projects 331/12, RP 2014-00000394, Regional Health System, Venice, Italy. Dr Zorzi research fellowship is supported by F.I.G.C., Rome, Italy.

Disclosures
None.

References

Nonischemic Left Ventricular Scar in Athletes


Nonischemic Left Ventricular Scar as a Substrate of Life-Threatening Ventricular Arrhythmias and Sudden Cardiac Death in Competitive Athletes
Alessandro Zorzi, Martina Perazzolo Marra, Ilaria Rigato, Manuel De Lazzari, Angela Susana, Alice Niero, Kalliopi Pilichou, Federico Migliore, Stefania Rizzo, Benedetta Giorgi, Giorgio De Conti, Patrizio Sarto, Luis Serratosa, Giampiero Patrizi, Elia De Maria, Antonio Pelliccia, Cristina Basso, Maurizio Schiavon, Barbara Bauce, Sabino Iliceto, Gaetano Thiene and Domenico Corrado

_Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol._ 2016;9:
doi: 10.1161/CIRCEP.116.004229

_Circulation: Arrhythmia and Electrophysiology_ is published by the American Heart Association, 7272 Greenville Avenue, Dallas, TX 75231
Copyright © 2016 American Heart Association, Inc. All rights reserved.
Print ISSN: 1941-3149. Online ISSN: 1941-3084

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:
http://circep.ahajournals.org/content/9/7/e004229
Free via Open Access

Permissions: Requests for permissions to reproduce figures, tables, or portions of articles originally published in _Circulation: Arrhythmia and Electrophysiology_ can be obtained via RightsLink, a service of the Copyright Clearance Center, not the Editorial Office. Once the online version of the published article for which permission is being requested is located, click Request Permissions in the middle column of the Web page under Services. Further information about this process is available in the Permissions and Rights Question and Answer document.

Reprints: Information about reprints can be found online at:
http://www.lww.com/reprints

Subscriptions: Information about subscribing to _Circulation: Arrhythmia and Electrophysiology_ is online at:
http://circep.ahajournals.org//subscriptions/